

DOM Based XSS

转载

[buptisc_txy](#) 于 2010-04-08 07:40:00 发布 927 收藏
文章标签: [javascript](#) [server](#) [browser](#) [scripting](#) [internet](#) [adobe](#)

原文地址: http://www.owasp.org/index.php/DOM_Based_XSS

Definition

DOM Based XSS (or as it is called in some texts, “type-0 XSS”) is an XSS attack wherein the attack payload is executed as a result of modifying the DOM “environment” in the victim’s browser used by the original client side script, so that the client side code runs in an “unexpected” manner. That is, the page itself (the HTTP response that is) does not change, but the client side code contained in the page executes differently due to the malicious modifications that have occurred in the DOM environment.

This is in contrast to other XSS attacks ([stored or reflected](#)), wherein the attack payload is placed in the response page (due to a server side flaw).

Example

Suppose the following code is used to create a form to let the user choose his/her preferred language. A default language is also provided in the query string, as the parameter “default”.

...

Select your language:

```
<select><script>
```

```
document.write("<OPTION  
value=1">"+document.location.href.substring(document.location.href.indexOf("default=")+8)+"</OPTION>");
```

```
document.write("<OPTION value=2>English</OPTION>");
```

```
</script></select>
```

...

The page is invoked with a URL such as:

```
http://www.some.site/page.html?default=French
```

A DOM Based XSS attack against this page can be accomplished by sending the following URL to a victim:

```
http://www.some.site/page.html?default=<script>alert(document.cookie)</script>
```

When the victim clicks on this link, the browser sends a request for:

```
/page.html?default=<script>alert(document.cookie)</script>
```

to www.some.site. The server responds with the page containing the above Javascript code. The browser creates a DOM object for the page, in which the `document.location` object contains the string:

```
http://www.some.site/page.html?default=<script>alert(document.cookie)</script>
```

The original Javascript code in the page does not expect the default parameter to contain HTML markup, and as such it simply echoes it into the page (DOM) at runtime. The browser then renders the resulting page and executes the attacker's script:

```
alert(document.cookie)
```

Note that the HTTP response sent from the server does not contain the attacker's payload. This payload manifests itself at the client-side script at runtime, when a flawed script accesses the DOM variable `document.location` and assumes it is not malicious.

Advanced Techniques and Derivatives

In the example above, while the payload was not embedded by the server in the HTTP response, it still arrived at the server as part of an HTTP request, and thus the attack could be detected at the server side. The "DOM Based XSS" paper ([1]) details a technique to avoid server side detection. It also describes several other possible locations for the payload, besides `document.location`.

The technique to avoid sending the payload to the server hinges on the fact that URI fragments (the part in the URI after the "#") is not sent to the server by the browser. Thus, any client side code that references, say, `document.location`, may be vulnerable to an attack which uses fragments, and in such case the payload is never sent to the server. For example, the above DOM based XSS can be modified into:

```
http://www.some.site/page.html#default=<script>alert(document.cookie)</script>
```

which mounts the same attack without it being seen by the server (which will simply see a request for `page.html` without any URL parameters).

In December 2006, Stefano Di Paola and Giorgio Fedon described a [universal XSS attack against the Acrobat PDF plugin](#) ([4]). This attack applied the fragment variant of DOM based XSS to PDF documents. The researchers discovered that a PDF document served to the browser, when rendered by the Acrobat plugin, may end up executing part of the fragment as Javascript. Since the Javascript is executed in the context (DOM) of the current site, all an attacker needed to exploit this flaw was to simply find a PDF link somewhere on the site for the XSS condition to be met. If the attacker then tricked a user into clicking on (or submitting) a link like:

```
http://www.some.site/somefile.pdf#somename=javascript:attackers_script_here
```

then a victim using an un-patched Acrobat reader would succumb to the attack. Adobe patched their reader after they were made aware of this flaw, but if not all users have downloaded the patch then those users are still vulnerable to this type of attack.

Ivan Ristic did some research and proposed some server side defenses against this type of attack in his presentation "Protecting Web Applications from Universal PDF XSS: A discussion of how weird the web application security world has become" at the [2007 OWASP Europe AppSec Conference](#) in Milan. His presentation ([5]) can be downloaded [here](#).

Extensions

Ory Segal gave an example (section "Javascript flow manipulation" in [2]) of how a target page can be framed and the frame's parent (in the attacker's control) can be devised in such manner that it affects the execution of the target page in a way desired by the attacker. The technique shows how DOM manipulation can be useful to modify the execution flow of scripts in the target page.

Kuza55 and Stefano Di Paola discussed more ways in which the concept of DOM manipulation and DOM based XSS can be extended in [3].

References

[1] "DOM Based Cross Site Scripting or XSS of the Third Kind" (WASC writeup), Amit Klein, July 2005

<http://www.webappsec.org/projects/articles/071105.shtml>

[2] "JavaScript Code Flow Manipulation, and a real world example advisory - Adobe Flex 3 Dom-Based XSS" (Watchfire blog), Ory Segal, June 17th, 2008

<http://blog.watchfire.com/wfblog/2008/06/javascript-code.html>

[3] "Attacking Rich Internet Applications" (RUXCON 2008 presentation), Kuza55 and Stefano Di Paola, November 2008

http://www.ruxcon.org.au/files/2008/Attacking_Rich_Internet_Applications.pdf

[4] "Subverting Ajax" (23C3 presentation), Stefano Di Paola and Giorgio Fedon, December 2006

http://events.ccc.de/congress/2006/Fahrplan/attachments/1158-Subverting_Ajax.pdf

[5] "Protecting Web Applications from Universal PDF XSS" (2007 OWASP Europe AppSec presentation) Ivan Ristic, May 2007

http://www.owasp.org/images/c/c2/OWASPApSec2007Milan_ProtectingWebAppsfromUniversalPDFXSS.ppt