blackhat **USA 2024**

AUGUST 7-8, 2024 BRIEFINGS

#BHUSA @BlackHatEvents

All Your Secrets Belong to Us: Leveraging Firmware Bugs to Break TEEs

Tom Dohrmann

whoami

- **Tom Dohrmann**
- *Low-level enthusiast*
- Coding
- • Hacking

- Short Intro to TEEs and AMD SEV-SNP
- Prerequisites
	- Platform Security Processor & Firmware
	- Reverse Map Table
- Bug #1
	- Simple Exploit
	- Improved Exploit
- Bug #2
	- Exploit
- Wrap-up and take-aways

What's a TEE Anyway?

- TEE = Trusted Execution Environment
- A secure area of a main processor
- Workloads are protected from conventionally privileged parts of an OS e.g. the kernel
- For a lot of applications leakage of secrets is a bad as arbitrary code execution.
- Many implementations:
- AMD SEV(-ES/-SNP)
- Intel SGX, Intel TDX \rightarrow "Compromising Confidential Compute, One Bug at a Time"
- Arm TrustZone, Arm CCA
- **IBM SE**
- RISC-V CoVE
- NVIDIA H100

Very Short Intro to AMD SEV-SNP

- AMD SEV-SNP implements a Trusted Execution Environment (TEE).
- It aims to shield protected virtual machines from untrusted and even malicious hypervisors.
- All data and code is encrypted and integrity protected.
- Upon creation of a VM, the initial memory contents are measured and can be verified through attestation reports.

Platform Security Processor (PSP)

- The Platform Security Processor is a highly privileged components of AMD SoCs.
- In the context of SEV, the PSP implements the root of trust and is required to create, attest, migrate, delete SEV-SNP virtual machines.
- The SEV firmware is also used with the SEV-SNP's predecessors, SEV and SEV-ES.
- The firmware can be live-updated.
- Parts of the firmware were [published](https://github.com/amd/AMD-ASPFW) in August 2023.

Reverse Map Table (RMP)

- The RMP is used to protect the integrity of memory.
- It contains an entry for every guest-assignable page of memory to track its state.
- Before every write access, the CPU checks the RMP to decide whether the access is allowed. These checks are done for all privilege levels including hypervisor and SMM accesses.
- The firmware is more privileged and can write to any memory \rightarrow It needs to do these checks manually.
- The RMP is managed by the CPU through special instructions and by the SEV firmware.
- A lot of trust is put into the RMP permission and state checks being enforced correctly (foreshadowing!).

Reverse Map Table (RMP)

Each page can be owned by the hypervisor, a virtual machine, or the SEV firmware.

CVE-2024-21980

Command Dispatch

1. The hypervisor writes the request to memory.

Command Dispatch

- 1. The hypervisor writes the request to memory.
- 2. The hypervisor donates the page to the firmware.
- 3. The hypervisor tells the firmware about the request.
- 4. The firmware reads the request.
- 5. The firmware processes the request.
- 6. The firmware writes the response back.
- 7. The firmware tells the hypervisor it's done.
- 8. The hypervisor reads the response.

- 1. The hypervisor writes the request to memory.
- 2. The hypervisor donates the page to the firmware.
- 3. The hypervisor tells the firmware about the request.
- 4. The firmware reads the request.
- 5. The firmware processes the request.
- 6. The firmware writes the response back.
- 7. The firmware tells the hypervisor it's done.
- 8. The hypervisor reads the response.
- 9. The hypervisor asks the firmware to reclaim the page. (5)
- TL;DR: Command requests and responses are written to regular memory.
- → During step 6, the firmware needs to check whether it's allowed to write to memory.

Command Dispatch

 (3)

Hypervisor

Firmware

1

4

 (8)

 $\overline{7}$

6

- 1. The hypervisor writes the request to memory.
- 2. The hypervisor donates the page to the firmware.
- 3. The hypervisor tells the firmware about the request.
- 4. The firmware reads the request.
- 5. The firmware processes the request.
- 6. The firmware writes the response back.
- 7. The firmware tells the hypervisor it's done.
- 8. The hypervisor reads the response.
- 9. The hypervisor reclaims the page.
- \rightarrow The firmware only has to check the RMP if it writes back a response.

Command Dispatch (w/o Response)

0x0000 0x1000 0x2000 0x3000 0x4000 0x5000 0x6000 0x7000 $\left(9\right)$

ite Checks

Bug #1 One Of These It Not Like The Others…

Command Dispatch

1. The hypervisor writes the request to memory.

Command Dispatch

- 1. The hypervisor writes the request to memory.
- 2. The hypervisor donates the page to the firmware.
- 3. The hypervisor tells the firmware about the request.
- 4. The firmware reads the request.
- 5. The firmware processes the request.
- 6. The firmware writes the response back.
- 7. The firmware tells the hypervisor it's done.
- 8. The hypervisor reads the response.

- 1. The hypervisor writes the request to memory.
- 2. The hypervisor donates the page to the firmware.
- 3. The hypervisor tells the firmware about the request.
- 4. The firmware reads the request.
- 5. The firmware processes the request.
- 6. The firmware writes the response back.
- 7. The firmware tells the hypervisor it's done.
- 8. The hypervisor reads the response.
- 9. The hypervisor asks the firmware to reclaim the page. $\mathcal G$
- \rightarrow The firmware just corrupted the memory of a protected guest.

Command Dispatch

 (3)

Hypervisor

Firmware

 $\overline{1}$

4

 (8)

6

7

Primitive Exploit

@BlackHatEvents can choose the location (with some limitations).

Choosing a Target

• It's not always easy to know what each guest memory region contains.

Choosing a Target

• The attacker has very little control over the plaintext values for the corrupted ciphertext.

Attacking the guest directly is possible, but … … It's far from trivial and … … Exploits will likely have to be tailored to specific workloads.

Attacking the Firmware

result=0x000000d0

- Guest context pages contain metadata about a guest.
- Marked as owned by the SEV firmware in the RMP using a special *CONTEXT* state.
- Guest context pages are encrypted.

- When the guest is created, the firmware uses a secure RNG to generate the UMC key seed.
- Before the guest is first used, the firmware programs the UMC key seed into all the Unified Memory Controllers (UMC) on the platform.
- The UMCs use this key seed to derive the guest's encryption key.

Guest Context Pages

Victim guest Wicker guest with debugging enabled

Identical Key Seeds = Identical Encryption Keys

- Guest context pages contain metadata about a guest.
- Marked as owned by the SEV firmware in the RMP using a special *CONTEXT* state.
- **Guest context pages are encrypted.**

Location-Dependent Encryption

• All guest context pages are encrypted using the same key, but use a physical-addressdependent IV.

 \rightarrow We have to use the same physical address for the guest and attacker context pages.

 \rightarrow We have to shut the victim guest down before starting the attack guest.

Improved Exploit

- 1. Launch victim guest.
- 2. Corrupt *UMC key seed* with fixed values.
- 3. Run victim guest and records its encrypted memory.
- 4. Decommission victim guest.
- 5. Launch attacker guest at the same location with debug options enabled.
- 6. Corrupt *UMC key seed* with the same fixed values.
- 7. Use debug commands with the attacker guest to decrypt the memory of the victim guest.

root@server:~/firmware-vuln-poc# cargo run -- --pfn 0x171a24
Finished dev [unoptimized + debuginfo] target(s) in 0.03s Creating VM with identical UMC key seed

Raw page:

-
- $020:$ 29d761f0c5bdc1b4b4a69fd2f37c829ca6d30d439252f7daefd72fcd45262053
- 3c10be491d825e35ea4166261486e417187c679efcc2d2be8553f32c2c62bbf3 $040:$
- 27ac6d99214a2ce1fc37d35a94475ff377e67caacc43add86e908a9369207343 $060:$
- 14c197ffbcfade378bd1b33819051d5d3628b5eb71a0b84daefed27671e8e202 $080:$
- $0a0:$
- 0_{c0} :
- $0e0:$
- $100:$
- $120:$
- $140:$
- #BHUSA @BlackHatEvents 160:

Secrets page: imi en: false FMS: 00a00f11 gosvw: 000000000000000000000000a98d95ff vmpck0: 29d761f0c5bdc1b4b4a69fd2f37c829ca6d30d439252f7daefd72fcd45262053 vmpck1: 3c10be491d825e35ea4166261486e417187c679efcc2d2be8553f32c2c62bbf3 vmpck2: 27ac6d99214a2ce1fc37d35a94475ff377e67caacc43add86e908a9369207343 vmpck3: 14c197ffbcfade378bd1b33819051d5d3628b5eb71a0b84daefed27671e8e202 tsc factor: 200

#BHUSA @BlackHatEvents

CVE-2024-21978

- The firmware stores some certificates in non-volatile storage.
- The *INIT_EX* command can be used to ask the firmware to use regular memory instead of on-chip SPI flash for non-volatile storage.
- The hypervisor has to donate memory to the firmware by converting some memory into the *FIRMWARE* state.
- The firmware only checks that the memory is in the *FIRMWARE* state when *INIT_EX* is executed. All following accesses skip the access checks.
- The hypervisor can use the *PAGE_RECLAIM* command to ask the firmware to convert unused *FIRMWARE* memory back into hypervisor state.
- → *PAGE_RECLAIM* doesn't whether the address is being used for non-volatile storage.

Rough Plan of Attack

- 1. Convert some memory into the *FIRMWARE* state.
- 2. Use that memory with *INIT_EX* as non-volatile storage.
- 3. Reclaim the memory using *PAGE_RECLAIM*.
- 4. Assign the memory to a guest.
- 5. Trigger a command that causes the firmware to non-volatile storage.

Can We Better Than Exploit #1?

- Last time we were limited by the fixed value of the memory corruption.
- The *PDH_GEN* command regenerates some certificates and writes ~3 pages of random data to the memory backing used for non-volatile storage.

• Corrupting the *UMC key seed* isn't very useful because we have no control of the value.

Guest Context Pages

• After the UMC key seed has been programmed into the UMC, the encryption unit in the memory controller uses the address space identifier (*ASID*) to look up the encryption key for a guest.

…

- After the UMC key seed has been programmed into the UMC, the encryption unit in the memory controller uses the address space identifier (*ASID*) to look up the encryption key for a guest.
- If we corrupt the *ASID* we can trick the firmware into using another guest's encryption keys.

…

- After the UMC key seed has been programmed into the UMC, the encryption unit in the memory controller uses the address space identifier (*ASID*) to look up the encryption key for a guest.
- If we corrupt the *ASID* we can trick the firmware into using another guest's encryption keys.
- If we also corrupt the *Policy* we can issue debug commands for that other guest.

iuest Flags

…

- After the UMC key seed has been programmed into the UMC, the encryption unit in the memory controller uses the address space identifier (*ASID*) to look up the encryption key for a guest.
- If we corrupt the *ASID* we can trick the firmware into using another guest's encryption keys.
- If we also corrupt the *Policy* we can issue debug commands for that other guest.
- There are only relatively few valid *ASID*s (<509 or <1006 depending on the CPU).
- We can query both the *ASID* and the *policy* using the *GUEST_STATUS* command.

T | DEBUG

Juest Flags

…

- After the UMC key seed has been programmed into the UMC, the encryption unit in the memory controller uses the address space identifier (*ASID*) to look up the encryption key for a guest.
- If we corrupt the *ASID* we can trick the firmware into using another guest's encryption keys.
- If we also corrupt the *Policy* we can issue debug commands for that other guest.
- There are only relatively few valid *ASID*s (<509 or <1006 depending on the CPU).
- We can query both the *ASID* and the *policy* using the *GUEST_STATUS* command.

iuest Flags

…

- After the UMC key seed has been programmed into the UMC, the encryption unit in the memory controller uses the address space identifier (*ASID*) to look up the encryption key for a guest.
- If we corrupt the *ASID* we can trick the firmware into using another guest's encryption keys.
- If we also corrupt the *Policy* we can issue debug commands for that other guest.
- There are only relatively few valid *ASID*s (<509 or <1006 depending on the CPU).
- We can query both the *ASID* and the *policy* using the *GUEST_STATUS* command.

IT | DEBUG

Juest Flags

…

- After the UMC key seed has been programmed into the UMC, the encryption unit in the memory controller uses the address space identifier (*ASID*) to look up the encryption key for a guest.
- If we corrupt the *ASID* we can trick the firmware into using another guest's encryption keys.
- If we also corrupt the *Policy* we can issue debug commands for that other guest.
- There are only relatively few valid *ASID*s (<509 or <1006 depending on the CPU).
- We can query both the *ASID* and the *policy* using the *GUEST_STATUS* command.

iuest Flags

…

- After the UMC key seed has been programmed into the UMC, the encryption unit in the memory controller uses the address space identifier (*ASID*) to look up the encryption key for a guest.
- If we corrupt the *ASID* we can trick the firmware into using another guest's encryption keys.
- If we also corrupt the *Policy* we can issue debug commands for that other guest.
- There are only relatively few valid *ASID*s (<509 or <1006 depending on the CPU).
- We can query both the *ASID* and the *policy* using the *GUEST_STATUS* command.
- We repeatedly corrupt guest context pages until hit an *ASID* < 509/1006 and *Policy* allows debugging.
- The chances of getting everything right are about 1 in 20,000,000.
- We can corrupt 300 guest context pages per second.
- We expect to get a hit about once a day.
- This can be in advance before launching the victim guest.

freax13@server:~/code/cve-2024-21978-poc\$ bash exploit.sh
Corrupt guest context page so that ASID is in range 1..510
Smallest ASID: 0x0000001f iterations: 14052175 zeros: 10539628 unique asids: 31500727 elapsed time: 1d 19 Creating VM with same ASID

00, 00, 00, f0, 51, a5, 03, 3f, 69, 6b, 93, e8, d8, 61, 0d, 2e, 5a, 45, f1, ea, 6d, bf, 49, fe, e4, a9, 2d, 8d, af, 7 6, 5e, 2e, 56, e0, fa, a9, b3, a7, e0, bc, 09, d9, 4f, 28, 5c, 9f, 84, d2, 7e, 34, eb, ea, 3f, 29, 88, 30, 01, 28, 65 , 8b, 73, 3c, 84, 00, ae, 4a, 74, a2, 7a, d1, c7, 4f, 63, 7f, 72, 7b, 3b, 2f, 08, b3, 1a, 8c, 99, 1b, ad, b5, 1d, 42, 0b, 4d, 98, d4, 7d, c1, 0b, d6, 2f, b4, 6c, 6b, 51, a2, 92, 17, 3b, 01, e8, 82, 11, 1e, cb, cb, a2, 8f, c9, b0, 52, #BHUSA @BlackHatEvents

Reusability of Exploits

- The exploits assume very little about the memory corruption:
- Fixed and random writes to RMP-protected memory are exploitable.
- Completely workload-independent
- A third bug I discovered, CVE-2023-31355, can be exploited using strategy #1 with very few changes.

Take-Aways

1. The hypervisor is very powerful: Even very simple bugs can have a large security impact.

2. The firmware used by SEV (and other TEEs) deserves more attention from the researcher community.

3. Demand as much transparency as possible in all parts of the stack.

- Proof of Concepts are available on GitHub
	- github.com/freax13/cve-2024-21980-poc
	- github.com/freax13/cve-2024-21978-poc
	- github.com/freax13/cve-2023-31355-poc
		- Follow me on Twitter: @13erbse

Thanks & Q/A